

1 Genotype and phenotype spectrum of Charcot-Marie-Tooth 2 disease due to mutations in SORD

3 Andrea Cortese,^{1,2,†} Maïke F. Dohrn,^{3,4,†} Riccardo Curro,^{1,2,†} Sara Negri,⁵ Petra Lassuthova,⁶
4 Chiara Pisciotta,⁷ Stefano Tozza,⁸ Abdullah Al-Ajmi,⁹ Changyoung Feng,¹⁰ Pedro J. Tomaselli,¹¹
5 Gorka Fernandez-Eulate,¹² Saif Haddad,¹ Matilde Laurà,¹ Alexander M. Rossor,¹ Elisa
6 Vegezzi,¹³ Stefano Facchini,¹ James N. Sleight,^{1,14} Adriana Rebelo,³ Danique Beijer,^{3,15}
7 Jacquelyn Raposo,³ Mario Saporta,³ Barbora Lauerova,⁶ Helena F. Pernice,¹⁶ Pascal
8 Achenbach,^{4,17} Ulrike Schöne,^{4,18} Tayir Alon,¹⁹ Marcus Deschauer,²⁰ Isabell Cordts,²⁰ Carolin D.
9 Obermaier,²¹ Natalie Winter,²² Peter D. Creigh,²³ Janet E. Sowden,²³ Tyler Rehbein,²³ Stefania
10 Magri,²⁴ Alessandro Bertini,⁷ Paola Saveri,⁷ Paolo Ripellino,^{25,26} Jingyu Huang,³ Aleksandra
11 Nadaj-Pakleza,²⁷ Alison Ross,²⁸ James K. L. Holt,²⁹ Kathryn M. Brennan,³⁰ Rivka Sukenik-
12 Halevy,^{31,32} Varoona Bizaoui,³³ Yesim Parman,³⁴ Esra Battaloglu,³⁵ Arman Cakar,³⁶ Hadil
13 Alrohaif,³⁷ Simon Hammans,³⁸ Kishore R. Kumar,^{39,40,41} Marina L. Kennerson,⁴² Hülya
14 Kayserili,⁴³ Defne A. Amado,⁴⁴ Katrin Hahn,¹⁶ Paola Valentino,⁴⁵ Francesca Cavalcanti,⁴⁶ Carlo
15 Gaetano,⁵ Franco Taroni,²⁴ Geir J. Braathen,⁴⁷ Henry Houlden,¹ Tanya Stojkovic,¹² Stojan
16 Peric,⁴⁸ Alessandra Bolino,^{49,50} Stefano C. Previtali,⁵¹ Lee Yi-Chung,^{52,53} Ayşe N. Başak,⁵⁴
17 Sherifa A. Hamed,⁵⁵ Ricardo Rojas-Garcia,^{56,57} Kristl G. Claeys,^{58,59} Wilson Marques,¹¹ Teresa
18 Sevilla,⁶⁰ Beate Schlotter-Weigel,⁶¹ Fiore Manganelli,⁸ Ruxu Zhang,⁶² David N. Herrmann,²³
19 Steven S. Scherer,⁴⁴ Pavel Seeman,⁶ Davide Pareyson,⁷ Mary M. Reilly,¹ Michael E. Shy,⁶³
20 Inherited Neuropathy Consortium and Stephan Züchner³

21 †These authors contributed equally to this work.

22 Abstract

23 Biallelic loss-of-function mutations in the sorbitol dehydrogenase (*SORD*) gene cause the
24 most common recessive type of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT), CMT-SORD. However, the
25 full genotype-phenotype spectrum and progression of the disease remain to be defined. Notably, a
26 multicenter phase 2/3 study to test the efficacy of govorestat (NCT05397665), a new aldose

1 reductase inhibitor, is currently ongoing. Diagnosing CMT-SORD will become imperative when
2 disease-modifying therapies become available.

3 In this cross-sectional multicentre study, we identified 144 patients from 126 families,
4 including 99 males (69%) and 45 females (31%). Patients represented multiple ancestries,
5 including European, Hispanic, Chinese, Near Eastern, and Northern African. We confirmed
6 c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27) as the most common pathogenic allele, followed by c.458C>A
7 (p.Ala153Asp), while other variants were identified mostly in single cases. The average sorbitol
8 level in CMT-SORD patients was significantly higher compared to controls and heterozygous
9 carriers, independently from serum storage duration, sex, or variant type. Two-thirds of cases were
10 diagnosed with CMT2 while one-third had distal hereditary motor neuropathy (dHMN). Disease
11 onset was usually in the second decade of life. Although foot dorsiflexion was the most affected
12 muscle group, dorsal and plantar flexion had a similar degree of weakness in most cases (difference
13 of Medical Research Council score ≤ 1). One fourth of patients used ankle foot orthoses, usually
14 in their 30s, but most patients maintained independent ambulation later in life. Nerve conduction
15 studies (NCS) were suggestive of a motor predominant axonal neuropathy, with reduced
16 conduction velocities in the intermediate range in one fourth of the cases. Sensory conduction in
17 the upper limbs appeared more frequently affected than in the lower limbs. Foot dorsiflexion and
18 plantar flexion decreased significantly with age. Male sex was significantly associated with the
19 severity of distal lower limb weakness (plantar flexion) and a larger change over time
20 (dorsiflexion).

21 In conclusion, CMT-SORD is a frequent recessive form of axonal, motor predominant
22 CMT, with prominent foot dorsiflexion and plantar flexion involvement. Fasting serum sorbitol is
23 a reliable biomarker of the condition that can be utilized for pathogenicity assessment of identified
24 rare *SORD* variants.

25
26 **Author affiliations:**

27 1 Department of Neuromuscular Diseases, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London,
28 WC1N 3BG, UK

29 2 Department of Brain and Behavioral Sciences, University of Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy

1 3 Dr. John T. Macdonald Foundation, John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics,
2 University of Miami, Miami, FL 33136, USA

3 4 Department of Neurology, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University, 52074 Aachen,
4 Germany

5 5 Laboratorio di Epigenetica, Dipartimento Medicina Riabilitativa NeuroMotoria – MeRiNM,
6 Istituti Clinici Scientifici Maugeri IRCCS, Pavia, 27100, Italy

7 6 Department of Paediatric Neurology, 2nd Faculty of Medicine, Charles University in Prague
8 and Motol University Hospital, 150 06 Praha, Czechia

9 7 Unit of Rare Neurological Diseases, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Fondazione
10 IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo Besta, Milan, 20133, Italy

11 8 Department of Neurosciences, Reproductive Sciences and Odontostomatology, University of
12 Naples Federico II, 80131 Naples, Italy

13 9 Division of Neurology, Department of Medicine, Al-Jahra Hospital, Al-Jahra, 00020, Kuwait

14 10 Department of Biostatistics and Computational Biology, University of Rochester, Rochester,
15 New York 14642, USA

16 11 Clinical Hospital of Ribeirão Preto, Department of Neurosciences and Behaviour Sciences,
17 University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, 14015-010, Brazil

18 12 Nord/Est/Ile-de-France Neuromuscular Diseases Reference Center, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital,
19 APHP, 75013 Paris, France

20 13 IRCCS Mondino Foundation, 27100 Pavia, Italy

21 14 UK Dementia Research Institute, University College London, London, WC1E 6BT, UK

22 15 Translational Genomics of Neurodegenerative Diseases, Hertie-Institute for Clinical Brain
23 Research, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, 72076, Germany

24 16Charité University Medicine Berlin, Corporate Member of Freie Universität Berlin and
25 Humboldt-Universität Zu Berlin, Department of Neurology and Experimental Neurology, Berlin,
26 10117, Germany

- 1 17Institute of Neuropathology, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, 52074 ,
2 Germany
- 3 18 Department of Neurology, Maria Hilf Hospital Mönchengladbach, 41063 Mönchengladbach,
4 Germany
- 5 19 Department of Neurology, Beilinson Hospital, Rabin Medical Center, Petah Tikva, 4941492,
6 Israel
- 7 20 Department of Neurology, University Hospital rechts der Isar, School of Medicine and
8 Health, Technical University Munich, München, 81675, Germany
- 9 21 Center for Genomics and Transcriptomics Tübingen and Zentrum für Humangenetik
10 Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany
- 11 22 Department of Neurology and Hertie Institute for Clinical Brain Research (HIH), University
12 of Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany
- 13 23 Department of Neurology, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14642, USA
- 14 24 Unit of Medical Genetics and Neurogenetics, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico Carlo
15 Besta, Milan, 20133, Italy
- 16 25 Department of Neurology, Neurocenter of Southern Switzerland EOC, Ospedale Regionale di
17 Lugano, via Tesserete 46, Lugano, 6900, Switzerland
- 18 26 Faculty of Biomedical Sciences, Università della Svizzera Italiana, Lugano, 6900,
19 Switzerland
- 20 27 Service de neurologie, Centre de référence des maladies neuromusculaires, Hôpitaux
21 Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 67091, France
- 22 28 Clinical Genetics, NHS Grampian, Aberdeen, AB15 6RE, UK
- 23 29 Department of Neurology, The Walton Centre, Liverpool, L9 7LJ, UK
- 24 30 Institute of Neurological Sciences, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow G51 4TF,
25 UK
- 26 31 Raphael Recanati Genetic Institute, Rabin Medical Center – Beilinson Hospital, Petah Tikva
27 4941492, Israel

- 1 32 School of Medicine, Faculty of Medical and Health Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv,
2 6997801, Israel
- 3 33 Service de Génétique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Caen Normandie, Caen, 14000 ,
4 France
- 5 34 Neuromuscular Unit, Department of Neurology, Istanbul University, Istanbul Faculty of
6 Medicine, Istanbul, 1827 Turkey
- 7 35 Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Center for Life Sciences and Technologies,
8 Bogazici University, 34342 Istanbul, Turkey
- 9 36 Neuromuscular Unit, Neurology Department, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul
10 University, Istanbul, 34093, Turkey
- 11 37 Kuwait Medical Genetics Centre, Sabah Hospital, Kuwait City, Kuwait
- 12 38 Wessex Neurological Centre, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust.
13 Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK
- 14 39 Molecular Medicine Laboratory and Department of Neurology, Concord Repatriation General
15 Hospital, Concord Clinical School, The University of Sydney, Concord, NSW 2006, Australia
- 16 40 Translational Neurogenomics Group, Genomic and Inherited Disease Program, Garvan
17 Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, NSW 2010, Australia
- 18 41 St Vincent's Healthcare Clinical Campus, UNSW Medicine & Health, UNSW Sydney,
19 Kensington, NSW 2052, Australia
- 20 42 Northcott Neuroscience Laboratory, ANZAC Research Institute, Sydney Local Health
21 District, Concord and School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University
22 of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2050, Australia
- 23 43 Department of Medical Genetics, Koc University School of Medicine (KUSOM), Istanbul,
24 34010, Turkey
- 25 44 Department of Neurology, The Perelman School of Medicine at the University of
26 Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, USA
- 27 45 Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences, Institute of Neurology, University Magna
28 Graecia, Catanzaro, 88100 Italy

1 46 Institute for Biomedical Research and Innovation (IRIB), Department of Biomedical
2 Sciences, National Research Council (CNR), Mangone (CS), 87050, Italy

3 47 Department of Medical Genetics, Telemark Hospital Trust, Skien, 3710 Norway

4 48 Neurology Clinic, University Clinical Center of Serbia, Faculty of Medicine, University of
5 Belgrade, Belgrade, 11000 Serbia

6 49 Human Inherited Neuropathies Unit, Division of Neuroscience, Institute of Experimental
7 Neurology, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan, 20132 Italy

8 50 Vita-Salute San Raffaele University, Milan 20132 Italy

9 51 Neuromuscular Repair Unit, Division of Neuroscience, IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan,
10 20132 Italy

11 52 Department of Neurology, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, 11217 Taiwan

12 53 Brain Research Center, National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University, 112304 Taipei, Taiwan

13 54 Koç University, School of Medicine, Suna and İnan Kıraç Foundation, Neurodegeneration
14 Research Laboratory (NDAL), Research Center for Translational Medicine, Istanbul, 34010
15 Turkey

16 55 Department of Neurology and Psychiatry, Assiut University Hospital, Assiut, 71515 Egypt

17 56 Department of Neurology, Neuromuscular Diseases Unit, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant
18 Pau, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, 08193 Spain

19 57 Center for Networked Biomedical Research into Rare Diseases (CIBERER), Madrid, 28029
20 Spain

21 58 Department of Neurology, University Hospitals Leuven, Leuven, 3000 Belgium

22 59 Laboratory for Muscle Diseases and Neuropathies, Department of Neurosciences, KU
23 Leuven, and Leuven Brain Institute (LBI), Leuven, 3000 Belgium

24 60 Neurology Department, La Fe Health Research Institute (IISLAFE), Neuromuscular Research
25 Unit, Valencia, 46026 Spain

26 61 Friedrich Baur Institute at the Department of Neurology, LMU University Hospital, LMU
27 Munich, München, 80336 Germany

1 62 Department of Neurology, Third Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha
2 410013, China

3 63 Department of Neurology, Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA
4 52242, USA

5
6 Correspondence to: Andrea Cortese

7 Department of Neuromuscular Diseases, UCL Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London,
8 WC1N 3BG, UK

9 E-mail: Andrea.cortese@ucl.ac.uk

10

11 Correspondence may also be address to: Stepan Zuchner

12 Dr. John T. Macdonald Foundation, John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics, University
13 of Miami, Miami, FL 33136, USA

14 E-mail: SZuchner@med.miami.edu

15

16 **Running title:** Genotype–phenotype spectrum of CMT-SORD

17 **Keywords:** SORD; natural history; hereditary neuropathy; polyol pathway; aldose reductase

18

19 **Introduction**

20 Hereditary neuropathies comprise a broad group of over 100 different, genetically defined diseases
21 with a wide genotype-phenotype spectrum. The term Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT) is
22 increasingly used as an umbrella term for non-syndromic inherited neuropathies that affect sensory
23 and motor axons. We recently identified biallelic mutations in the *SORD* gene, encoding sorbitol
24 dehydrogenase, as a cause of hereditary motor neuropathy and hereditary motor and sensory
25 neuropathy, here referred to as CMT-SORD.¹ Based on the allele frequency of the most common
26 c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27) mutation (~0.3% of all chromosomes) across many populations

1 according to GnomAD, we calculated a prevalence of at least 3000 CMT-SORD cases in the USA
2 alone, making CMT-SORD as the likely most common recessive form of CMT. Indeed, the high
3 frequency of CMT-SORD has been confirmed by several independent studies and across different
4 ethnicities (OMIM phenotype number = 618912). (1–12)

5 CMT-SORD affects the well-known polyol pathway, (13) which facilitates the conversion of
6 glucose to fructose in two steps – generating sorbitol through the enzyme aldose reductase (AR)
7 and then converting sorbitol to fructose via SORD. This process has been broadly investigated in
8 the context of diabetic neuropathies. (14–16) Biallelic pathogenic *SORD* mutations result in a loss
9 of SORD function and lead to a conspicuous accumulation of sorbitol in patient serum and
10 fibroblasts. (1) A promising clinical trial with a novel AR inhibitor, AT-007/govorestat, (17) is
11 ongoing (NCT05397665), motivating a further characterization of the full clinical and biochemical
12 phenotypic and genotypic spectrum of CMT-SORD. Herein, we report a cross-sectional
13 observation of 144 CMT-SORD patients and their pathogenic alleles, including frequencies and
14 associated phenotypic variation Also we confirm the reliability of sorbitol as a biomarker of the
15 disease.

16

17 **Materials and methods**

18 **Patients**

19 Patients were examined by experienced neurologists at different Neuromuscular Reference
20 Centers. The study design conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki, and ethical approval was
21 obtained at each site prior to study initiation. For inclusion, patients had to carry bi-allelic
22 mutations in the *SORD* gene or to have high serum sorbitol levels if segregation of variants was
23 not possible. We collected detailed information on patient history, using a standardized protocol
24 distributed to all sites. An initial, full neurologic examination, and, when available, a second
25 evaluation, were obtained. When recorded, disease severity was scored using the previously
26 validated Charcot-Marie-Tooth Examination Score (CMTESv2). (18)

1 **Nerve conduction studies**

2 Previously conducted nerve conduction results were re-assessed. We collected original values of
3 compound motor action potentials (CMAP), motor nerve conduction velocity (NCV), distal motor
4 latency, and F-waves from the median, ulnar, tibial, and peroneal nerves. Sensory nerve action
5 potentials (SNAPs) and sensory NCV were measured (orthodromically or antidromically) at
6 median, ulnar, radial, and sural nerves. Patients were labeled CMT2 if both motor and sensory
7 nerves were affected, or distal hereditary motor neuropathy (dHMN) if the neuropathy affected
8 motor but not sensory axons.

9 **Molecular genetic analyses**

10 Patients were diagnosed at multiple sites, with genetic analyses being performed in different
11 certified genetic laboratories.(19) Either whole-genome sequencing, whole-exome sequencing,
12 targeted gene panels, or Sanger sequencing were performed, as described in Cortese et al. (1)

13 **Sorbitol measurements**

14 Serum samples were obtained in 30 patients following a fasting period of at least eight hours. In
15 the reference laboratory, samples were measured using liquid-chromatography mass
16 spectrometry.(1)

17 **Data evaluation and statistics**

18 For continuous variables, mean values and standard deviations were reported, and the normality
19 assumption of their distribution was checked using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Two-sample t-tests were
20 employed for normally distributed data to compare mean values between the two groups, while
21 paired t-tests were used to examine changes within the same group. For skewed data, the
22 corresponding Wilcoxon rank sum test (or signed rank test) was utilized. Categorical variables
23 were analyzed using Pearson's chi-square test or Fisher's exact test to compare distributions
24 between the two groups. Multiple linear regression was conducted to investigate the association
25 between each primary outcome variable and demographic and clinical covariates. The significance
26 level was set at 0.05 for all analyses. The analyses were performed using SAS 14 (SAS Institute
27 Inc., Cary, NC). Graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism version 9.4.1 for Windows
28 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA).

1 **Results**

2 **Genotype spectrum of CMT-SORD**

3 We identified 144 patients from 126 families and 43 centers carrying biallelic mutations in *SORD*
4 (**figure 1**). There were 99 males (69%) and 45 females (31%). Average age at study enrolment
5 was 40.9 ± 14.8 years (range 15-75). Forty-seven (33%) patients had a family history of neuropathy
6 and 26 (18%) were born from consanguineous parents, including 8 individuals with an additional
7 affected family member. Thus, in 79 (55%) individuals the disease was sporadic, without report
8 of family history of neuropathy or consanguinity. We confirmed c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27)
9 as the most common pathogenic allele, followed by c.458C>A (p.Ala153Asp), while the other
10 variants were identified mostly in single cases (**table 1** and **figure 2**). Altogether, 113 (78%)
11 patients carried a homozygous c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27) variant, 25 (18%) were
12 compound heterozygous for the c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27) and a second nonsense,
13 splicing, exon deletion, or missense variant, while only six (4%) individuals carried two different
14 variants from c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27). Overall, 118 (82%) had biallelic nonsense
15 changes, including splicing and structural variants, while 26 (18%) had at least one missense
16 variant. In 17 patients, carrying two heterozygous mutations, testing of additional family members
17 provided evidence that the two mutations were located on separate alleles. In 11 patients for whom
18 segregation was not possible, the compound heterozygous state could be inferred from the
19 detection of a high serum sorbitol level in the pathogenic range.

20 **Serum sorbitol level is a reliable biomarker of CMT-SORD**

21 To test the stability of sorbitol in sera over time and at different temperatures, we performed a time
22 series using serum samples from three patients. Serum sorbitol proved to be stable as there was no
23 significant difference in the level measured immediately after thawing of snap frozen sera or on
24 samples kept refrigerated at 4 degrees or at room temperature for either 72 hours or 8 days (**figure**
25 **3A**). These observations facilitated collection and testing of sera from multiple centers worldwide,
26 as sera could be collected and shipped at room temperature for testing. Serum sorbitol levels were
27 available in 30 cases, including 18 cases carrying biallelic nonsense or splicing variants, 11
28 individuals carrying one nonsense or splicing variant and one missense variant, and one case
29 carrying a homozygous c.908C>G (p.Thr303Arg) missense variant. The average sorbitol level in

1 CMT-SORD patients was 14.7 ± 4.9 mg/L (range 10.3-27.0), which was significantly higher
2 compared to controls (0.07 ± 0.06 , $P < 0.001$) and to carriers of one heterozygous nonsense variant
3 (**figure 3B**). We found no significant difference between sorbitol level in males vs females (13.9
4 ± 0.9 vs 14.4 ± 0.8 , $p=0.67$). Also, we did not detect significant fluctuations of serum sorbitol after
5 overnight fasting and one hour or three hours after a meal (**Supplementary figure 1**). Increased
6 serum sorbitol levels provided evidence of pathogenicity for six missense variants c.287C>T
7 (p.Pro96Leu; novel), c.329G>C (p.Arg110Pro), c.403C>G (p.His135Asp), c.458C>A
8 (p.Ala153Asp), c.553G>A (p.Gly185Arg), c.908C>G (p.Thr303Arg; novel), and one splicing
9 variant c.786+5G>A; p.?. Sorbitol levels further confirmed the pathogenicity of two structural
10 variants causing exon deletion (**figure 2** and **table 1**). Furthermore, this biochemical test provided
11 indirect evidence of the in-trans allelic status of heterozygous variants in cases where segregation
12 of variants through additional family members was not possible. Importantly, there was no
13 association between serum sorbitol levels and age at sorbitol test, sex, or missense vs nonsense
14 variants.

15 **Clinical features of patients with CMT-SORD**

16 Two-thirds of cases were diagnosed with CMT2 while one-third had dHMN (**table 2**). There was
17 no association between CMT subtype and mutation type (nonsense vs missense). Disease onset
18 was usually in the second decade of life, however, 79% of patients reported foot deformities and
19 46% described poor performance in sport activities at school, suggesting an earlier onset of
20 disease. Nonetheless, motor developmental delay and scoliosis were rare, which is different from
21 most recessive CMT forms. Interestingly, a high proportion of patients reported difficulties
22 standing on both their toes and heels, starting at ~18 years, suggesting an early involvement of foot
23 plantar flexion as in other forms of dHMN. Also, 28% of patients reported distal tremor of the
24 upper limbs, which is also not uncommon in motor predominant neuropathies. Approximately 37%
25 of individuals reported a reduced hand dexterity, on average 8 years after the onset of walking
26 difficulties. Reduced sensation and paresthesia were reported by less than a third of patients, and
27 neuropathic pain was uncommon. One out of four patients used ankle foot orthoses, usually in
28 their 30s, however only 13 patients needed a stick/cane, and two used a wheelchair. A neurologic
29 comorbidity was reported in six patients, including epilepsy, multiple sclerosis, subarachnoid
30 hemorrhage, intellectual disability, stroke, and Kennedy disease, which were all considered

1 unlikely to be related to SORD mutations. Four patients had type 2 diabetes mellitus, and none
2 had cataracts.

3 **Neurological examination**

4 A detailed neurological assessment was available in 139 cases at an average age of 33.7 ± 13.8
5 years (**figure 4**). Distal atrophy was observed in 98% of cases. Muscle strength was usually normal
6 in the proximal muscle groups of the upper and lower limbs. Half of the patients had reduced
7 strength of intrinsic hand muscles, while most patients had reduced strength of distal lower limb
8 muscles. Foot dorsiflexion was the most affected, with an MRC score ≤ 3 in 53% of patients,
9 however, foot plantar flexion was also impaired in 78% of individuals, with an MRC score of ≤ 3
10 in 33%. Notably, 77% of patient had a similar degree of weakness of dorsiflexion and plantar
11 flexion (difference of MRC score ≤ 1). Weakness was asymmetric in 22% of individuals. Deep
12 tendon reflexes in the upper limbs and knees were frequently retained or even increased, while
13 ankle reflexes were more typically reduced or absent. Sensation was usually normal in the upper
14 limbs, while sensation to pinprick and vibration in the lower limbs were reduced in 28% and 40%
15 of the cases, respectively.

16 **Neurophysiology and other investigations**

17 Nerve conduction studies (NCS) were available for review in 109 patients. NCS from multiple
18 labs with diverse normative values. The overall picture is a motor predominant axonal neuropathy,
19 most evident in the legs (**table 3**). This is best illustrated by the finding that the mean amplitude
20 of the tibial nerve was reduced ($\bar{x}=2.3$ mV), whereas the mean amplitude of the sural nerve was
21 normal ($\bar{x}=10$ μ V). Our data show, however, that upper limb involvement can be observed in
22 approximately half of the cases, reflected by reduced CMAPs amplitude of ulnar (50%) or median
23 (44%) nerves. Motor conduction velocities were abnormally reduced in ~one fourth of the cases
24 in the upper and lower limbs, mostly falling into the intermediate range (35-45 m/s). Interestingly,
25 sensory conduction in the upper limbs appeared more frequently affected than in the lower limbs.
26 Reduced SNAP amplitude or slower CVs were observed in up to 76% of cases in the upper limbs
27 but only 27% in the lower limbs, thus confirming the observation of a previous smaller case series
28 (10).

1 Spine MRI was performed in 27 patients, showing degenerative spine disease in two patients and
2 disc herniation in four cases without evidence of spinal cord compression. Brain MRI was
3 performed in 22 patients showing changes in keeping with the known diagnosis of multiple
4 sclerosis (n=1), probable previous lacunar infarct (n=1) and non-specific white matter changes
5 (n=3).

7 **Disease severity and progression**

8 Baseline CMTES ($N=106$) was 6.09 ± 3.7 (0.00 – 18.0). The neuropathy was considered mild
9 (CMTES 0 to 7) in 77 (72%), moderate (CMTES 8 to 16) in 28 (26%), and severe (CMTES 17 to
10 28) in one individual. However, it is known that CMTES, which is a compound score weighting
11 sensory and motor impairment in CMT, can underestimate severity in purely or predominantly
12 motor CMT, due to the low scores of items assessing sensory deficit. We assessed the cross-
13 sectional MRC score of first dorsal interosseous (FDI), foot dorsiflexion, and foot plantar flexion
14 as well as CMTES as surrogate markers of disease progression and assessed the association with
15 age, sex, and mutation type (nonsense vs missense) (**table 4**). Foot dorsiflexion and plantar flexion
16 decreased significantly with age ($P < 0.001$), CMTES increased significantly with age ($P = 0.003$),
17 while FDI did not show significant changes. There was a significant association between sex and
18 foot plantar flexion, with males being more affected. We did not observe a correlation between
19 sex and FDI, foot dorsiflexion, or CMTES). Mutation type (missense vs nonsense) showed no
20 association with CMTES or strength of the muscle group tested. Sixty-seven cases have had a
21 second and most recent examination after 6.9 ± 7.4 years. Foot dorsal and plantar flexion strength
22 declined significantly ($P = 0.0013$ and $P = 0.001$, respectively), while there was a borderline
23 significant decrease of first dorsal interosseous strength (**Supplementary table 1**).

24 Finally, we tested the association of change of FDI, foot dorsiflexion and foot plantar flexion with
25 disease duration (time from first examination to most recent examination), , mutation type and
26 baseline MRC score of the respective muscle group (**table 3**). Foot dorsiflexion decreased
27 significantly by ~5% per year of disease duration ($P = 0.017$). Males showed a significantly larger
28 change than females ($P = 0.019$), while a low baseline score of foot dorsiflexion was associated
29 with smaller changes over time ($P = 0.046$), likely due to a ceiling effect and difficulties in scoring

1 severely affected muscle groups. There was no association between change of FDI or foot plantar
2 flexion and any of the covariates tested.

3

4 **Discussion**

5 In this study, we characterized the genotype and phenotype spectrum of CMT-SORD. The
6 p.Ala253GlnfsTer27 allele is by far the most frequent allele, but other biallelic mutations can cause
7 CMT-SORD. The reported variants cover the entire protein, with moderate clustering in exon 4
8 and across the co-enzyme binding domain. A loss of enzyme function can be caused by frameshift,
9 truncating, splice, but also by missense mutations in the *SORD* gene. Importantly, elevated serum
10 sorbitol levels provide a valid and reproducible confirmatory test for variants of uncertain
11 pathogenicity.

12 With an estimated prevalence of 1:2500, hereditary neuropathies are one of the most frequent
13 inherited diseases. Whereas a genetic cause can usually be identified for people with a
14 demyelinating form of CMT, there is a diagnostic gap of about 50% of for CMT patients with
15 axonal neuropathy. Based on high allele frequencies, we postulate that, to date, CMT-SORD is the
16 most common autosomal recessive axonal neuropathy, and our present data confirm that the
17 disease has been diagnosed in many different populations. One challenge is the paralogous
18 pseudogene *SORDP2*, which contains the most frequent pathogenic p.Ala253GlnfsTer27 mutation
19 in 95% of chromosomes. This homologous sequence potentially interferes with genetic testing of
20 this locus and is likely a reason for why CMT-SORD was not discovered until 2020.(1) This
21 molecular testing challenge also applies to Sanger sequencing, where (nested) primers need to be
22 specifically designed to resolve this locus.

23 Our results represent the first cross-sectional observational study on CMT-SORD and benefited
24 from a global network of expert neurologists who collected detailed information on symptoms,
25 clinical examinations, nerve conduction, and mutation status. One limitation is the high number of
26 involved centers and the retrospective design of this study which may lead to missing data and
27 potential examiner bias. Also, several patients had a neurological comorbidity which may
28 contribute to their overall disability. The aim of this study was, however, to depict a global real-
29 life cohort representing the full phenotype spectrum of CMT-SORD rather than a more

1 homogeneous and pre-selected cohort as would be needed for a clinical trial. Of note, we also
2 successfully identified patients originating from Morocco, Brazil, Serbia, and Israel – regions that
3 are usually underrepresented in CMT clinical research studies. (20,21) As for other motor
4 predominant CMT2 and dHMN subtypes, the similar involvement of foot dorsiflexion and plantar
5 flexion may represent a clue to suspect the disease. Upper limb and patellar reflexes may be
6 retained or even brisk, suggesting the presence of a possible subclinical involvement of the central
7 nervous system, as also observed in other axonal CMT subtypes (22), and in the absence of relevant
8 changes of imaging.

9 We use the previously validated CMTES severity scale as surrogate measure to inform on disease
10 progression. In a cross-sectional analysis, foot dorsiflexion, plantar flexion, and the CMTES were
11 significantly associated with the age of the subject, and in a subgroup of patients with two separate
12 neurologic evaluations, foot dorsiflexion showed the largest change over time ($5 \pm 2\%$ per year).
13 The change in foot dorsiflexion was greater in patients with preserved muscle strength at first
14 evaluation, possibly due to a floor effect in more advanced cases. Prospective natural history
15 studies similar to what has been done for other forms of CMT subtypes, including CMT1A (23),
16 and CMT due to MPZ (24), MFN2 (25), GJB1 (26) and SH3TC2 (27) mutations, will be needed
17 to accurately track the disease progression and confirm these cross-sectional changes. Biomarkers
18 of neuropathy (e.g., plasma levels of neurofilament light; NFL), and of disease progression
19 (intramuscular fat fraction) will be critical for enabling clinical trials, especially in rare CMT
20 subtypes. (28–30)

21 We identified a possible effect of male sex on disease severity, which is unusual for recessive
22 diseases. Male sex was significantly associated with the severity of distal lower limb weakness
23 (plantar flexion) and a larger change over time (dorsiflexion). This was paralleled by the higher
24 number of male patients enrolled in the study, who received molecular confirmation of CMT-
25 SORD, with a male to female ratio of 2:1. Interestingly, SORD expression was shown to be
26 androgen-regulated in the human prostate and a putative androgen-responsive regulatory region at
27 the *SORD* promoter has been identified. (31) We hypothesize that this sex-specific difference may
28 be due to a reduced severity of the neuropathy in females. Finally, a recent study on a *SORD*
29 knockout rat model observed and discussed earlier onset and more severe disease in male animals.
30 (32) Larger studies will be needed to confirm these observations.

1 The pathophysiology of CMT-SORD is still unclear. The lack of SORD leads to elevated
2 intracellular sorbitol and serum sorbitol, but how this causes a motor predominant neuropathy is
3 not known. The polyol pathway has long been studied in the context of diabetes and diabetic
4 neuropathy. (14) Diabetic polyneuropathy is sensory predominant at least initially, and sorbitol is
5 only mildly increased in diabetic patients, later in life. In contrast, sorbitol in inherited SORD
6 deficiency is elevated greater than 10-fold throughout life. This might explain the different modes
7 of peripheral nerve damage: small fibers in diabetic neuropathy and large fibers in CMT-SORD.

8 In summary, we have described the largest cohort of CMT-SORD patients to date. CMT-SORD is
9 a motor-predominant, recessive CMT/dHMN with mild to moderate severity. We recommend that
10 *SORD* be included in all genetic screens for inherited neuropathy, and that sorbitol be measured in
11 serum/plasma or, as recently shown, in urine, (33) in patients carrying yet unseen or unclassified
12 *SORD* changes. Diagnosing CMT-SORD will become imperative if disease-modifying therapies
13 are found. This study will have immediate translational value for diagnoses and treatment efforts
14 for CMT patients.

16 **Data availability**

17 Data are not publicly available due to lack of patients' consent. De-identified data are available
18 upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

20 **Acknowledgements**

21 We thank the patients and their families for participating in this study. We also thank the patient
22 advocacy groups for referrals to this study, especially the Charcot-Marie-Tooth Association, the
23 Hereditary Neuropathy Foundation, and Muscular Dystrophy Association. AMR is grateful to the
24 National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research
25 Centre. Finally, we would like to thank the European Reference Network for Rare Neuromuscular
26 Diseases (ERN EURO-NMD).

1 **Funding**

2 The work is supported by NIH research grants (5U54NS065712 and 5R01NS105755 to MS and
3 SZ; 5U24NS120858 to S.Z), Charcot-Marie-Tooth Association (SZ), and Muscular Dystrophy
4 Association (SZ) and Medical Research Council (MR/T001712/1 to AC), Fondazione Cariplo
5 (grant n. 2019-1836 to AC), Fondazione Regionale per la Ricerca Biomedica (Regione Lombardia,
6 project ID 1751723 to AC). M.F.D. has received funding by the German Research Foundation
7 (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, DFG, DO 2386/1-1). R.C. was supported by the European
8 Academy of Neurology (EAN) Research Fellowship 2021. PJT thanks the ICGNMD for support.
9 S.T. thanks the Ministry of University and Research (MUR), National Recovery and Resilience
10 Plan (NRRP), project MNESYS (PE0000006) – A multiscale integrated approach to the study of
11 the nervous system in health and disease (DN. 1553 11.10.2022). PL thanks EU - Next Generation
12 EU (grant LX22NPO5107 - MEYS)

13

14 **Competing interests**

15 Andrea Cortese, Maike Dohrn, Mary Reilly, Steven Scherer, Michael Shy, and Stephan Züchner
16 have performed paid consulting activities for Applied Therapeutics. DNH consulting disclosures
17 over the past 3 years include Regenacy, Applied Therapeutics, DTx Pharma, Passage Bio, Roche,
18 Pfizer, Orthogonal Neurosciences, NMD Pharma, GLG, Guidepoint Global.

19

20 **Supplementary material**

21 Supplementary material is available at *Brain* online.

22

1 **References**

- 2 1. Cortese A, Zhu Y, Rebelo AP, Negri S, Courel S, Abreu L, et al. Biallelic mutations in SORD
3 cause a common and potentially treatable hereditary neuropathy with implications for diabetes.
4 *Nat Genet.* 2020 May;52(5):473–81.
- 5 2. Dong HL, Li JQ, Liu GL, Yu H, Wu ZY. Biallelic SORD pathogenic variants cause Chinese
6 patients with distal hereditary motor neuropathy. *NPJ Genom Med.* 2021 Jan 4;6(1):1.
- 7 3. Pons N, Fernández-Eulate G, Pegat A, Théaudin M, Guieu R, Ripellino P, et al. SORD-related
8 peripheral neuropathy in a French and Swiss cohort: Clinical features, genetic analyses, and
9 sorbitol dosages. *Eur J Neurol.* 2023 Jul;30(7):2001–11.
- 10 4. Lašuthová P, Mazanec R, Staněk D, Sedláčková L, Plevová B, Haberlová J, et al. Biallelic
11 variants in the SORD gene are one of the most common causes of hereditary neuropathy
12 among Czech patients. *Sci Rep.* 2021 Apr 19;11(1):8443.
- 13 5. Alluqmani M, Basit S. Association of SORD mutation with autosomal recessive asymmetric
14 distal hereditary motor neuropathy. *BMC Med Genomics.* 2022 Apr 18;15(1):88.
- 15 6. Grosz BR, Stevanovski I, Negri S, Ellis M, Barnes S, Reddel S, et al. Long read sequencing
16 overcomes challenges in the diagnosis of SORD neuropathy. *J Peripher Nerv Syst.* 2022 Feb
17 28;
- 18 7. Yuan RY, Ye ZL, Zhang XR, Xu LQ, He J. Evaluation of SORD mutations as a novel cause
19 of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol.* 2021 Jan;8(1):266–70.
- 20 8. Liu X, He J, Yilihamu M, Duan X, Fan D. Clinical and Genetic Features of Biallelic Mutations
21 in SORD in a Series of Chinese Patients With Charcot-Marie-Tooth and Distal Hereditary
22 Motor Neuropathy. *Front Neurol.* 2021;12:733926.
- 23 9. O'Donnell LF, Cortese A, Rossor AM, Laura M, Blake J, Skorupinska M, et al. Exploratory
24 analysis of lower limb muscle MRI in a case series of patients with SORD neuropathy. *J*
25 *Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 2022 Jul 22;jnnp-2022-329432.
- 26 10. Record CJ, Pipis M, Blake J, Curro R, Lunn MP, Rossor AM, et al. Unusual upper limb
27 features in SORD neuropathy. *J Peripher Nerv Syst.* 2022 Jun;27(2):175–7.

- 1 11. Kabeloglu V, Aksoy S, Soysal A. Hereditary polyneuropathy with conduction block associated
2 with SORD mutation in three siblings. *Acta Neurol Belg*. 2024 Feb;124(1):343–7.
- 3 12. Frasquet M, Rojas-García R, Argente-Escrig H, Vázquez-Costa JF, Muelas N, Vílchez JJ, et
4 al. Distal hereditary motor neuropathies: Mutation spectrum and genotype-phenotype
5 correlation. *Eur J Neurol*. 2021 Apr;28(4):1334–43.
- 6 13. Finegold D, Lattimer SA, Nolle S, Bernstein M, Greene DA. Polyol pathway activity and myo-
7 inositol metabolism. A suggested relationship in the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy.
8 *Diabetes*. 1983 Nov;32(11):988–92.
- 9 14. Callaghan BC, Cheng HT, Stables CL, Smith AL, Feldman EL. Diabetic neuropathy: clinical
10 manifestations and current treatments. *Lancet Neurol*. 2012 Jun;11(6):521–34.
- 11 15. Goto Y, Hotta N, Shigeta Y, Sakamoto N, Kikkawa R. Effects of an aldose reductase inhibitor,
12 epalrestat, on diabetic neuropathy. Clinical benefit and indication for the drug assessed from
13 the results of a placebo-controlled double-blind study. *Biomed Pharmacother*.
14 1995;49(6):269–77.
- 15 16. Hotta N, Akanuma Y, Kawamori R, Matsuoka K, Oka Y, Shichiri M, et al. Long-term clinical
16 effects of epalrestat, an aldose reductase inhibitor, on diabetic peripheral neuropathy: the 3-
17 year, multicenter, comparative Aldose Reductase Inhibitor-Diabetes Complications Trial.
18 *Diabetes Care*. 2006 Jul;29(7):1538–44.
- 19 17. Zhu Y, Lobato AG, Rebelo AP, Canic T, Ortiz-Vega N, Tao X, et al. Sorbitol reduction via
20 govorestat ameliorates synaptic dysfunction and neurodegeneration in sorbitol dehydrogenase
21 deficiency. *JCI Insight*. 2023 May 22;8(10):e164954.
- 22 18. Murphy SM, Herrmann DN, McDermott MP, Scherer SS, Shy ME, Reilly MM, et al.
23 Reliability of the CMT neuropathy score (second version) in Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. *J*
24 *Peripher Nerv Syst*. 2011 Sep;16(3):191–8.
- 25 19. Gonzalez M, Falk MJ, Gai X, Postrel R, Schüle R, Zuchner S. Innovative genomic
26 collaboration using the GENESIS (GEM.app) platform. *Hum Mutat*. 2015 Oct;36(10):950–6.
- 27 20. Yalcouyé A, Esoh K, Guida L, Wonkam A. Current profile of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease
28 in Africa: A systematic review. *J Peripher Nerv Syst*. 2022 Jun;27(2):100–12.

- 1 21. Uchôa Cavalcanti EB, Santos SC de L, Martins CES, de Carvalho DR, Rizzo IMP de O, Freitas
2 MCDNB, et al. Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease: Genetic profile of patients from a large
3 Brazilian neuromuscular reference center. *J Peripher Nerv Syst.* 2021 Sep;26(3):290–7.
- 4 22. Bienfait HME, Baas F, Koelman JHTM, de Haan RJ, van Engelen BGM, Gabreëls-Festen A
5 a. WM, et al. Phenotype of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease Type 2. *Neurology.* 2007 May
6 15;68(20):1658–67.
- 7 23. Fridman V, Sillau S, Acsadi G, Bacon C, Dooley K, Burns J, et al. A longitudinal study of
8 CMT1A using Rasch analysis based CMT neuropathy and examination scores. *Neurology.*
9 2020 03;94(9):e884–96.
- 10 24. Fridman V, Sillau S, Bockhorst J, Smith K, Moroni I, Pagliano E, et al. Disease Progression
11 in Charcot-Marie-Tooth Disease Related to MPZ Mutations: A Longitudinal Study. *Ann*
12 *Neurol.* 2023 Mar;93(3):563–76.
- 13 25. Pipis M, Feely SME, Polke JM, Skorupinska M, Perez L, Shy RR, et al. Natural history of
14 Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease type 2A: a large international multicentre study. *Brain.* 2020 Dec
15 1;143(12):3589–602.
- 16 26. Record CJ, Skorupinska M, Laura M, Rossor AM, Pareyson D, Pisciotta C, et al. Genetic
17 analysis and natural history of Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease CMTX1 due to GJB1 variants.
18 *Brain.* 2023 Oct 3;146(10):4336–49.
- 19 27. Rehbein T, Wu TT, Treidler S, Pareyson D, Lewis R, Yum SW, et al. Neuropathy due to bi-
20 allelic SH3TC2 variants: genotype-phenotype correlation and natural history. *Brain.* 2023 Sep
21 1;146(9):3826–35.
- 22 28. Reilly MM, Herrmann DN, Pareyson D, Scherer SS, Finkel RS, Züchner S, et al. Trials for
23 Slowly Progressive Neurogenetic Diseases Need Surrogate Endpoints. *Ann Neurol.* 2023
24 May;93(5):906–10.
- 25 29. Rossor AM, Shy ME, Reilly MM. Are we prepared for clinical trials in Charcot-Marie-Tooth
26 disease? *Brain Res.* 2020 Feb 15;1729:146625.
- 27 30. Rossor AM, Kapoor M, Wellington H, Spaulding E, Sleigh JN, Burgess RW, et al. A
28 longitudinal and cross-sectional study of plasma neurofilament light chain concentration in
29 Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease. *J Peripher Nerv Syst.* 2022 Mar;27(1):50–7.

- 1 31. Szabó Z, Hämäläinen J, Loikkanen I, Moilanen AM, Hirvikoski P, Väisänen T, et al. Sorbitol
2 dehydrogenase expression is regulated by androgens in the human prostate. *Oncol Rep.* 2010
3 May;23(5):1233–9.
- 4 32. Rebelo AP, Abad C, Dohrn MF, Li JJ, Tieu EK, Medina J, et al. SORD-deficient rats develop
5 a motor-predominant peripheral neuropathy unveiling novel pathophysiological insights.
6 *Brain.* 2024 Mar 27;awae079.
- 7 33. White A, Bontrager J, Laxen W, Loken P, Grider T, Alawneh J, et al. P004: Urine polyols for
8 diagnosis of sorbitol dehydrogenase (SORD) deficiency-related peripheral neuropathy*.
9 *Genetics in Medicine Open* [Internet]. 2024 Jan 1 [cited 2024 Apr 3];2. Available from:
10 [https://www.gimopen.org/article/S2949-7744\(24\)00027-X/fulltext](https://www.gimopen.org/article/S2949-7744(24)00027-X/fulltext)
11
12

1 **Figure legends**

2 **Figure 1 Geographic distribution of recruited patients with CMT-SORD**

3

4 **Figure 2 *SORD* variants detected in this study.** Linear depiction of the *SORD* gene and the
5 corresponding sorbitol dehydrogenase monomer domains. The most frequent frameshift mutation
6 is shown in red. Amino acid positions for binding sites were derived from UniProt.

7

8 **Figure 3 Fasting serum sorbitol level in CMT-SORD.** (A) stability of sorbitol metabolite in
9 serum kept at 4 degrees Celsius or at room temperature for 3 or 8 days. (B) fasting serum sorbitol
10 level in CMT-SORD patients, *SORD* mutation carriers and controls according to variant type.

11

12 **Figure 4 Neurologic findings in patients with CMT-SORD.** LL =lower limbs, UL = upper
13 limbs, MRC= Medical Research Council, FDIO = first dorsal interosseous muscle. Number of
14 individuals = 139, disease duration = 15.4 ± 11.3 years since the onset of walking difficulties

15

16

17

1 **Table 1 Genotype spectrum of CMT-SORD**

Patients Allele 1 / allele 2	Cases	Alleles	%
c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27) / c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27)	113		78%
c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27) / c.458C>A (p.Ala153Asp)	17		12%
c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27) / other mutation	8		6%
c.458C>A (p.Ala153Asp) / c.458C>A (p.Ala153Asp)	2		1%
c.458C>A (p.Ala153Asp) / other mutation	2		1%
Other mutation / other mutation	2		1%
Alleles			
c.757delG (p.Ala253GlnfsTer27)		251	87%
c.458C>A (p.Ala153Asp)		23	8%
Exon 4 deletion		3	2%
c.786+5G>A; p.?		2	<1%
c.908C>G (p.Thr303Arg)		2	<1%
c.287C>T (p. Pro96Leu)		1	<1%
c.298C>T (p.Arg100Ter)		1	<1%
c.328C>T (p.Arg110Ter)		1	<1%
c.329G>C (p.Arg110Pro)		1	<1%
c.403C>G (p.His135Asp)		1	<1%
c.553G>A (p.Gly185Arg)		1	<1%
Exon 7 deletion		1	<1%

2
3
4

1 **Table 2 Clinical characteristics of patients diagnosed with CMT-SORD**

	N (%)	Mean \pm SD (min-max), N^a
Male	99 (69%)	
Age at study enrolment		40.9 \pm 14.8 (15.0–74.8)
Disease duration (since onset of walking difficulties)		23.0 \pm 13.9 (1.5–61.9), N=102
Family history of neuropathy		
Sporadic	79 (55%)	
Affected family member	47 (33%)	
Consanguinity	26 (18%)	
Consanguinity and affected family member	8 (6%)	
Ethnicity		
European	108 (75%)	
Middle Eastern	16 (11%)	
East-Asian	13 (9%)	
Black	3 (2%)	
Hispanic	2 (1%)	
Unknown	2 (1%)	
CMT subtype		
CMT2	86 (60%)	
dHMN	58 (40%)	
Motor delay	7 (5%)	
Foot deformities	114 (79%)	
Foot surgery	13 (9%)	
Hand surgery	3 (2%)	
Scoliosis	22 (15%)	
Difficulties with sport in school	66 (46%)	
Sensory loss	45 (31%)	
Paraesthesia	36 (25%)	
Cramps	36 (25%)	
Neuropathic pain	23 (16%)	
Need for pain medication	11 (8%)	
Difficulties running	127 (88%)	15.5 \pm 7.8 (4–47) N=85
Difficulties walking	123 (85%)	17.5 \pm 8.9 (3–50) N=102
Difficulties standing on the heels	115 (80%)	17.8 \pm 8.8 (7–50) N=57
Difficulties standing on the toes	96 (67%)	18.9 \pm 9.7 (7.00–57) N=53
Impaired hand dexterity	53 (37%)	26.3 \pm 12.9 (12–62) N=34
Distal upper limb tremor	40 (28%)	21.8 \pm 11.0 (10–52) N=21
Use of walking aids		
Insoles	33 (23%)	24.1 \pm 10.8 (9–45) N=23
AFOs	40 (28%)	34.1 \pm 13.0 (13–65) N=26
stick/cane	13 (9%)	47.2 \pm 24.3 (27–67) N=6
Wheelchair	2 (1%)	NA

2 AFOs= ankle-foot orthoses; NA = Not available.

3 ^aAge at symptom onset, Number of individuals (if different from 144).4
5

1 **Table 3 Electrophysiological findings**

Value [unit]	N	Mean	SD	Median	Range	Abnormal
Age at baseline [years]	89	30.8	14.3	29	12–68	-
Sensory NCS						
Median_nerve_SNAP (>15) ^a [μV]	98	11.8	10.1	8.2	0–51	55 (56.1%)
Median_nerve_SCV (>40) ^a [m/s]	85	47.6	6.5	48.6	27–69.8	8 (9.4%)
Ulnar_nerve_SNAP (>15) ^a [μV]	89	10.3	9.4	7.8	0–47	68 (76.4%)
Ulnar_nerve_SCV (>40) ^a [m/s]	76	48.1	7.7	48.8	29–65.8	10 (13.2%)
Radial_nerve_SNAP (>15) ^a [μV]	53	14.2	8.0	13.0	0–43.4	33 (62.2%)
Radial_nerve_SCV (>40) ^a [m/s]	47	53.6	8.0	53.8	35–71.0	3 (6.3%)
Sural_nerve_SNAP (>5) ^a [μV]	109	10.7	9.2	10.0	0–56.6	29 (26.6%)
Sural_nerve_SCV (>40) ^a [m/s]	92	43.01	7.3	44.0	26–61	22 (24%)
Motor NCS						
Distal_median_nerve_CMAP (>8) ^a [mV]	105	8.3	8.5	7.0	0–54.7	46 (43.8%)
Distal_median_nerve_CV (>45) ^a [m/s]	99	49.4	8.9	50.8	31–66.2	31 (31.3%)
Distal_ulnar_nerve_CMAP (>8) ^a [mV]	100	8.3	8.1	6.2	0.5–57.7	50 (50%)
Distal_ulnar_nerve_CV (>45) ^a [m/s]	95	49.9	8.1	50.4	32–67.1	28 (29.5%)
Distal_peroneal_nerve_CMAP (>5) ^a [mV]	104	2.3	5.2	0.8	0–39.8	78 (75%)
Distal_peroneal_nerve_CV (>39) ^a [m/s]	68	39.7	6.4	41.0	18–51.4	19 (27.9%)
Distal_tibial_nerve_CMAP (>8) ^a [mV]	103	2.3	2.8	1.2	0–13.2	75 (72.8%)
Distal_tibial_nerve_CV (>38) ^a [m/s]	76	40.1	6.6	40.2	22–58.5	20 (26.3%)

2 Peroneal CMAP is measured from Extensor digitorum brevis (EDB). Number of individuals = 109, disease duration = 13.8 ± 12.8 years since the
3 onset of walking difficulties.

4 ^aNote that normative values can vary between labs.

5
6
7

1 **Table 4 Impact of age, sex, and mutation type on disease severity and progression**

	Coefficient	Standard Error	t	P-value
FDI				
Age	-0.00	0.00	0	1.0
Female gender	-0.03	0.16	-0.22	0.83
Mutation type (missense vs nonsense)	-0.23	0.19	-1.19	0.24
Foot dorsiflexion				
Age	-0.03	0.00	-4.2	<0.001
Female gender	0.41	0.25	1.6	0.11
Mutation type (missense vs nonsense)	-0.14	0.30	-0.46	0.65
Foot plantar flexion				
Age	-0.04	0.00	-4.9	<0.001
Female gender	0.52	0.23	2.3	0.026
Mutation type (missense vs nonsense)	-0.19	0.28	-0.68	0.50
CMTES				
Age	0.08	0.03	3.1	0.003
Female gender	-1.0	0.79	-1.3	0.21
Mutation type (missense vs nonsense)	1.4	0.84	1.6	0.11
Change of FDI				
Disease duration (years)	0.00	0.01	0.84	0.40
Female gender	0.16	0.14	1.1	0.26
Mutation type (missense vs nonsense)	-0.30	0.20	-1.5	0.15
FDI at baseline	0.09	0.10	0.87	0.39
Change of foot dorsiflexion				
Disease duration (years)	0.05	0.02	2.4	0.019
Female gender	-0.74	0.30	-2.5	0.017
Mutation type (missense vs nonsense)	-0.59	0.10	-1.5	0.14
Foot dorsiflexion at baseline	0.24	0.12	2.0	0.046
Change of foot plantar flexion				
Disease duration (years)	0.02	0.02	0.97	0.34
Female gender	-0.23	0.26	-0.9	0.37
Mutation type (missense vs nonsense)	-0.19	0.34	-0.58	0.56
Foot plantar flexion at baseline	0.11	0.11	1.06	0.29

FDI = first dorsal interosseus muscle.

2
3
4



Figure 1
122x63 mm (x DPI)

1
2
3
4

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT




Figure 2
122x48 mm (x DPI)

1
2
3
4

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT




Figure 3
115x65 mm (x DPI)

1
2
3
4

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT




Figure 4
122x57 mm (x DPI)

1
2
3

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT